

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,083	04/21/2005	Kazuhiro Yoshida	123483	8242
25944 75	90 09/19/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			FOX, JOHN C	
P.O. BOX 19928			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320		3753		
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/10/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|--|

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/532,083	YOSHIDA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	John Fox	3753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time (ii) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		,	
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2 and 7-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected through accepted in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	

Art Unit: 3753

Claims 1 and 3-6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on August 21, 2006.

Applicant's election with traverse of Figures 1-6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no lack of unity because of the special technical features, and there is no extra burden in Examining three inventions as compared to one. This is not found persuasive because, in fact, the EPO Examiner listed X references against all of the claims. What, exactly, are the special technical features to which applicant refers if none of the claims are allowable?

As to the second, it should be self evident that it is a greater burden to read additional claims, search for additional features, read and understand additional references, write rejections based on additional grounds of rejection, read arguments relating to additional grounds of rejections, respond to those additional arguments, read an appeal brief relating to additional grounds of rejection, write an answer to such appeal brief, and to read and respond to a reply brief relating to additional grounds of rejection.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation in claim 2 of "so as to be branched midway from the valve port" is indefinite in that it is unclear what it means. The alternative recitation "directly or through a connecting passage" is indefinite in that it is unclear what it covers.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2, 7-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohmi et al '893, which corresponds to EP '731 of record.

Ohmi et al show a gas stick apparatus with valves 5,6 for example having a first passage 21 and a second passage 23 or 24. Ohmi et al contemplate plural parallel gas sticks, see Figures 9 and 10, and suggest a common inlet to the second passages by base 16, see Figure 3. A pair of such gas sticks would provide a pair of valves as claimed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3753

Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohmi et al '893 in view of Graffunder.

Ohmi et al teach the claimed device except for the V shaped passages.

Graffunder shows a valve line with a main passage 12 formed of V shaped passages to provide for complete purging of the line. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to have used such V shaped passages in the manifold of Ohmi et al to similarly provide complete purging of the first passages thereof.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-4912. The examiner can normally be reached on Patent Hoteling Program.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel can be reached on 571-272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,083 Page 5

Magazage jakan oli person A.

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John Dow Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753